

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 279.024US6 3688 06/19/2001 Robert G. Hauser 09/884,862 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/28/2004 21186 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. GETZOW, SCOTT M P.O. BOX 2938 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

3762

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. 1	09/884,862	HAUSER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Scott M. Getzow	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J      2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This      3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 32-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 32-51 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	<b>)</b> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32-34,36-43,45,50,51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cansell (4825871) in view of Wittkampf et al (4549548).

Cansell shows electrodes 3,5, and 10 that are all connected to a discharge circuit that can switch the combination of electrodes used for sensing and stimulation. As taught in col. 6, lines 59+, the electrode 10 can be a mesh of metal wires. Further, col. 7, lines 45+ states that the electrode 10 can be the housing that encloses the circuitry of the defibrillator, which is common in the art. Still further, the spiral element 4 can be used as another electrode. The patent to Wittkampf shows an electrode 43 which can consist of part of the can of the device. The patent also teaches the use of a programmable switch which is used to change the electrode configuration. It would have been obvious to have programmable capability for the switch of Cansell since such would serve to allow the physician to optimize treatment for the patient, as taught in col. 2, lines 33+ of Wittkampf.

3. Claims 44,46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cansell.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,862

Art Unit: 3762

To connect the second electrode and the conductive housing in common is considered to have been obvious since such would prevent the housing from floating to a different potential which could be dangerous for the patient and destructive to the defibrillator.

4. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cansell and Wittkampf and further in view of Greatbatch (4157720).

Greatbatch shows the use of a helical coil electrode. The phrase 'for encircling the pulse generator housing' is considered to be intended use, not a structural limitation. Such helical electrodes are common in the art, providing an alternative stimulation electrode for increased variety of stimulation patterns.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Both Barreras et al (4558702) and Silvian (4991583) show, among other things, programmable switches.

Because new art has been applied, this rejection is not made final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

smg